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Background to Study

• Aim of study
  – Map donor interest and support to mine action
  – Prepare options for MASG to improve donor coordination and partnerships

• Terms of Reference agreed at March 2012 MASG meeting in Geneva

• Draft report presented today
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Context

• 72 countries and 7 territories affected
• Estimate 50 national mine action programs
• Most reliant on some form of donor funding
• GICHD analysis gives trends in sector
  – Increase in meeting Treaty obligations
  – Shift to wider explosives / weapons contamination
  – Increase focus on value-for-money
Donor Interest for Mine Action

• US$480 million from 31 donors in 2010
• Top eight donors gave 78% of this
• All are MASG members
  – USA, EC, Japan, Norway, Canada, Australia, Germany and Netherlands
• GICHD study on donor trends
• Fewer donors have specific ‘Mine Action’ policy or strategy
Review of Existing Coordination Mechanisms

• Global
  – MASG – only donor body
  – United Nations
  – Trust Funds

• Regional
  – EC, ITF, OAS and Pacific Islands Forum

• National Level Coordination
Coordination Role of International Treaties

- Anti-Personnel Mine Ban Convention
- Convention on Cluster Munitions
- CCW
Options for MASG (1)

• Be more pro-active in coordinating work of members, through;
  – Consult on strategic policy issues
  – Joint assessments, monitoring and evaluation
  – Exchange information

• Establish principles for donor coordination
Options for MASG (2)

• Regional Level – assist PIF
• Country Level
  – Focus on emergency or completion countries
  – Discuss emerging issues in MASG
  – One or two donors lead
  – Promote national ownership + partnership principles
• Framework for in-country donor coordination
Questions?