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The Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) Mechanism

- UN inter-agency M&E tool successfully launched in 2013.

- Findings constitute important contributions to several UN initiatives.

Number of countries and territories participating:

- Round 1: 14
- Round 2: 20
- Round 3: 25
Context: Mine-Affected Countries and the UN

- Dataset of 89 mine-affected countries and territories; the UN supports mine action programmes in roughly 50%.
- 36.7% (32) highly contaminated; the UN supports mine action in 84%.
- 40% face governance challenges; the UN supports mine action in 71%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mine-affected countries/territories</th>
<th>All</th>
<th>UN supports mine action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Experiencing violent conflict (Uppsala Conflict Data Programme)</td>
<td>38.6%</td>
<td>52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle income (The World Bank)</td>
<td>60.2%</td>
<td>40.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low income (The World Bank)</td>
<td>28.8%</td>
<td>59%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mine/ERW Casualties in 2015

By Age and Gender
- Men: 68%
- Boys: 17%
- Girls: 6%
- Women: 3%
- Unknown: 6%

By Victim Type
- Civilians: 56%
- Non-Civilians: 32%
- Working Operators: 11%
- Unknown: 1%
Clearance and Mine/ERW Risk Education

Average per cent of contaminated land in participating countries/territories that has been surveyed to identify contamination: 58%

Per cent of identified BAC & minefield SHA and CHA that has been released: 89%

Clearance of affected infrastructure:
- 74% of hospitals suspected or confirmed hazardous have been cleared;
- 88% of educational facilities suspected or confirmed hazardous have been cleared;

Mine/ERW Risk Education:
- Cumulatively as of 1 July 2015, at least 10 million risk education sessions had been conducted, reaching 35 million people.
National Ownership: Capacity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Need for increased capacity</th>
<th>Basic capacity in place</th>
<th>Moderate capacity in place</th>
<th>Good capacity in place</th>
<th>Independent capacity in place</th>
<th>Not applicable</th>
<th>Unavailable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resource Mobilization</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victim Assistance</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procurement of Mine Action Services</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marking, Fencing, Survey, Clearance</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Management</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stockpile Management</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mine/ERW Risk Education</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injury Surveillance</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explosive Ordnance Disposal</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advocacy</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mine Action Planning</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality Assurance</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coordination of Mine Action Actors</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of Countries

- Red: Need for increased capacity
- Orange: Basic capacity in place
- Yellow: Moderate capacity in place
- Green: Good capacity in place
- White: Independent capacity in place
- Gray: Not applicable
- Black: Unavailable
National Ownership: Policy

National Policy Indicators: Percent of National Authorities

- Have a national mine action strategy: 59%
- Have a transition plan in place (where relevant): 56%
- Have a disability framework or policy in place: 82%
- Have a disability framework or policy that references mine/ERW victims and survivors: 55%
- Have a plan or strategy on information management: 71%
- Maintain database of civilian and non-civilian mine/ERW casualties (IMSMA): 67%
International Frameworks and Instruments

Signing and Ratification: Percent of Mine/ERW-Affected States


### Notes
- The data represents the percentage of states signing and ratifying the respective conventions.
- The number of states affected by landmines and explosive remnants of war (ERW) is significant, and the progress in international agreements is noteworthy.
- The increase in ratification rates from 2014 to 2015 indicates progress in global commitment to reducing the impact of landmines and ERW.
Conclusion

Benefits and Strengths of the M&E Mechanism
• Build evidence-base for UN and UN-supported reporting, policy, and programming;
• Support multilateral coordination;
• Enhance transparency and accountability.

Next Steps
• Meetings with stakeholders this week;
• Increase participation of affected countries with a United Nations mine action presence;
• Launch Round 4 of data collection by end of June 2016.